



Questionnaire for mid-project evaluation of Grundtvig Learning Partnership (Inter)national Days by FAEA

Project progress	Yes	No	Sometimes
The workplan is fulfilled	✓		
The planned activities are carried out	✓		
The quality of products is high	✓		
There is an equitable distribution of tasks	✓		
The problems that arise are solved	✓		
The learners participate in the partnership	✓		
The learners' motivation is good	✓		
The partnership has an impact on the organization	✓		

Please describe the impact of the partnership on your organization.

FAEA is a nationwide organization that includes around 50 smaller associations that work at local level in several Spanish regions. 5 of those associations have involved educators and learners in this learning partnership, participating in workshops and production of materials. The results have been shared with all the rest.

Open comments, remarks

-
-
-

Quality of the project coordination	Yes	No	Sometimes
Communications within the partnership are frequent and effective			✓
The coordinator is committed to the project	✓		
The relationship with the partners is good	✓		



Meetings take place at the agreed times and locations	✓*		
Meetings follow an agreed agenda circulated beforehand	✓		
All partners are given the opportunity to contribute to the meeting	✓		
Minutes are taken and circulated soon after the meeting	✓		
The partners are involved in the production of interim and final reports	✓		

Open comments, remarks

- The original meeting location of the first meeting had to be moved to another country, because the partner initially appointed to host it withdraw from the partnership

Evaluation of Partner involvement	Yes	No	Sometimes
The partner attends meetings	✓		
The partner takes active part in meetings, offers new ideas, proposals...	✓		
The partner shows a willingness to solve problems	✓		
The partner looks for opportunities to enhance the project	✓		
The partner carries out the tasks in the work plan	✓		
The partner fulfils the schedule	✓		



Open comments, remarks

-
-
-

Evaluation of Tool: Website	Very good	Good	So-so	Poor
Layout		✓		
Content		✓		
Regularly updated			✓	
Partner contribution		✓		

Evaluation of Tool: Platform	Very good	Good	So-so	Poor
Layout		✓		
Content		✓		
User friendly		✓		
Structure/Organization		✓		
Partner contribution		✓		

Open comments, remarks

-
-
-
-
-